Running head: SOCIAL MOTIVATION AS THE EXTREME FEMALE B	ALE BRAIN
---	-----------

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	Social Motivation as The Extreme Female Brain:
6	Borderline, Dependent, and Histrionic Personality Disorders
7	
8	Nicolas Kilsdonk-Gervais

9	Abstract
10	Baron-Cohen's Extreme Male Brain theory of autism has generated a novel perspective of
11	psychological disorders: the depiction of clinical behavioral patterns as extreme expressions of
12	normal sex differences. Consistent with this view, this review suggests that histrionic, borderline
13	and dependent personality disorders are the best candidates to represent the extreme female brain
14	as they are all characterized by excessive social or empathizing needs, and are related to
15	feminization.
16	Keywords: borderline personality disorder, histrionic personality disorder, dependent

personality disorder, autism spectrum disorders, extreme male brain theory

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

The Extreme Female Brain

From the moment species have evolved as sexual, females and males have gone through differential selection processes, in response to different roles involved in the continuation of the species (Buss & Schmitt, 1993). In humans, as in most species, males provide a group with resources vital to group functioning, while females bear and nurture children. As such, their respective physiology and psychology are designed to fulfill this purpose (Trivers, 1972). Women are highly dependent on others' input and collaboration to get the necessary material to ensure the survival of both themselves and their children, since their evolutionary purpose is the upbringing of children. As evolutionary processes retain those who have a spontaneous drive to accomplish what is beneficial for them, this dependence on social ties must result in considerable gratification when fulfilled, and considerable distress when unfulfilled. As a result, socialization would entail greater gratification for women when successful, and greater distress when unsuccessful. The basic and ultimate need of women is to socialize, or empathize (Baron-Cohen, 2002), and they would then compete with each other on socialization processes. Different behavioral presentations represent different strategies to fulfill a social need, with differential outcomes and tactics. This review will suggest that some psychological disorders might be extreme forms of what defines a feminine psychology.

Theoretical Background

Baron-Cohen (2002) provided the first spectrum to account for psychological sex differences. The empathizing/systemizing theory suggests that males have a higher spontaneous drive to construct systems, and women have a higher drive to empathize. This model is the reasoning behind the *Extreme Male Brain* theory of autism (Baron-Cohen, 1997), based on a suggestion of Hans Asperger in 1944. People with autism spectrum disorders, theorized to be an

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

extreme form of the male brain, have an unusually high drive to construct systems, and have an extremely low ability to understand others and create and maintain interpersonal relationships. They are considered to be *mind-blind*. On the other hand, the female brain would be characterized by a lesser drive to construct systems, but would be highly motivated to create and maintain social bonds. In this way, the extreme female brain would be extremely empathetic, but unstimulated by system construction. This model postulates that an extreme female brain would be *system-blind*, but extremely skilled at creating social bonds. There are important shortcomings to Baron-Cohen's theory. First, there is an underlying assumption that an extreme brain necessarily results in functional impairment, and in only one behavioral pattern. Some people with an extreme male brain score quite low on autistic symptoms (Auyeung et al., 2009). This introduces the second point; many other behavioral presentations are seen within those with a hyper-masculinized brain, such as individuals with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder and psychopathy (Hanoch, Gummerum, & Rolison, 2012). While they do not share the cognitive empathy impairments (Charman, Caroll, & Sturge, 2001; Meffert, Gazzola, den Boer, Bartels, & Keysers, 2013; Richell et al., 2003), they show the same low drive for socialization in itself, and often prefer status gains over well-functioning (Melnick & Hinshaw, 1996) and use instrumental interpersonal strategies respectively (APA, 2013). In this way, there is not a *single* extreme male brain presentation, and other presentations do not necessarily have cognitive empathy deficits, although there seems to be a generalized asocial tendency, or a low social motivation. Psychological theorists have previously suggested

that autism is defined by a decreased social motivation (Chevallier, Kohls, Troiani, Brodkin, &

Schultz, 2012). In a similar vein, individuals with an extreme female brain are believed to have

poor empathetic skills. Lastly, Baron-Cohen's suggestion assumes that there is only one type of

social drive. This no doubt led to the ambiguity that supposedly socially unmotivated autistic women are as social as non-autistic males (Head, McGillivray, & Stokes, 2014), and are even clingy inasmuch as they can be misdiagnosed with borderline personality disorder (Attwood, 2007). Even females who are thought to have a non-empathic brain have the clinginess that is here suggested to be a feminine trait. Consequently, it could be argued that systemizing and empathizing are *needs* rather than skills. In this way, the extreme female brain would be defined by an extreme social *need*, and may have *multiple* presentations, rooted in different strategies to fulfill a social dependency need.

Candidates of the Extreme Female Brain

Behavioral presentations that involve an excessive social need will be explored.

Psychological disorders are useful in this regard, because clinical categorization classifies individuals into stable, pervasive, and delimited behavioral patterns, for which empirical data has been gathered extensively. In contrast, correlating femininity, life strategies, and pathology would be a colossal endeavor, based on statistical approximations. The prevalence of males in the described disorders does not mean that it is not a predominantly female behavior, as individuals act out on a need, but assuming that men and women live in roughly the same environment, more often this environment will be perceived as socially unstimulating.

Numerous personality disorders appear to be rooted in an excessive social motivation.

Dependent personality disorder, borderline personality disorder, and histrionic personality disorder are all characterized by an excessive sensitivity to the social world. All have historically been linked to women. Among clinically-naïve participants reading the diagnostic criteria, histrionic and dependent personality disorders were seen as female disorders (Rienzi & Scrams,

1991). Importantly, the epidemiology and definition of these disorders are biased towards women.

Borderline Personality Disorder

Borderline personality disorder is perhaps the most interesting candidate for the extreme female brain. It is defined in the DSM-5 as a "pervasive pattern of instability in interpersonal relationships, self-image, and affects, and marked impulsivity, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts" (APA, 2013, p. 663). People with borderline personality disorder typically make frantic efforts to avoid real or imagined abandonment, their interpersonal relationships tend to be intense and characterized by an alternation between extremes of idealization and devaluation, they feel empty and have a self-image that depends on others, People with borderline personality disorder have high rates of compulsive buying (Maraz, Urbán, & Demetrovics, 2016), and use Facebook excessively (Delfour, Moreau, Laconi, Goutaudier, & Chabrol, 2015). Some theorists have suggested that people with borderline personality disorder are socially insatiable, in the sense that they expect extreme inclusion from others (De Panfilis, Riva, Preti, Cabrino, & Marchesi, 2015). Interestingly, borderline symptoms correlate positively with the number of piercings and tattoos (D'Ambrosio, Casillo, & Martini, 2014), and anecdotally, with hair dying frequency.

The hypothesis stating that females with borderline personality disorder represent an extreme form of female typical behavior has been informally suggested following Baron-Cohen's Extreme Male Brain theory of autism. A recommendation for research was also expressed by Larson and colleagues, including Baron-Cohen (2015). Recently, the hypothesis was experimentally tested by Dinsdale, Mokkonen, and Crespi (2016). Using the results of the Reading the Mind in the Eyes Test (RMET), they concluded that the extreme female brain may

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

be what is known as borderline personality disorder and subclinical depression. Further evidence shows that borderline traits are linked to hormonal femininity, and are magnified by oral contraception use. DeSoto, Geary, Hoard, Sheldon, and Cooper (2003) found that borderline traits were linked to fluctuations in estrogen levels, by conducting three studies. First, borderline symptoms were most common in the period of the menstrual cycle in which estrogen is at its highest, and in women using oral contraceptives. Second, across a menstrual cycle, the presence of borderline traits was predicted by estrogen levels, even when a generalized increase in negative mood was statistically controlled for. In a sample of forty women, estrogen and progesterone influenced borderline traits (Eisenlohr-Moul, DeWall, Girdler, & Segerstrom, 2015). Lastly, for women with pre-existing borderline traits, use of oral contraceptive exacerbates the symptoms of the disorder. Additional indirect evidence for hormonal influence on borderline symptoms comes from premenstrual dysphoric disorder (PMDD). PMDD occurs when estrogen levels are increasing before ovulation. The symptoms of PMDD are nearly identical to the borderline behavioral profile. The DSM-5 provides the following symptoms to illustrate PMDD:

markedly depressed mood, feelings of hopelessness, or self-deprecating thoughts; marked anxiety, tension, feelings of being "keyed up" or "on edge"; marked affective lability (e.g., feeling suddenly sad or tearful or experiencing increased sensitivity to rejection); persistent and marked anger or irritability or increased interpersonal conflicts (APA, 2013, p. 171).

Thus, fluctuating levels of estrogen play a role in borderline traits. Similarly, fluctuations in estrogen trigger depressive episodes in women (Payne, 2003), and women with PMDD tend to have high estradiol levels during the follicular phase (Redei & Freeman, 1995).

Borderline traits are also related to *brain* femininity. 2D:4D finger length ratios, the preferred biomarker for the evaluation of brain masculinity/femininity, is positively correlated to emotional instability (Lindová, Hrušková, Pivoňková, Kuběna, & Flegr, 2008). This means that the most feminized a brain is, the most emotionally unstable a person is. 2D:4D ratios correlate positively with neuroticism scores (Austin, Manning, McInroy, & Mathews, 2002; Fink, Manning, & Neave, 2004). Neuroticism is the trait of the Big Five on which men and women differ the most globally (Schmitt, Voracek, Realo, & Allik, 2008), and unsurprisingly, girls with borderline are acutely neurotic. 2D:4D ratios correlate with borderline characteristics: estrogen, neuroticism, and correlates negatively with autistic symptoms (which is the diametrical opposite of borderline interpersonal tendencies; extreme unresponsiveness to social stimuli; Putz, Gaulin, Sporter, & McBurney, 2004). 2D:4D ratios significantly predicted overall borderline traits, and the affective component of the borderline presentation more specifically (Evardone, Alexander, & Morey, 2008). In short, converging methods have provided evidence that borderline personality disorder is related to a pronounced femininity.

A large body of psychoanalytic literature suggests that borderline traits are caused by sexual, physical, or psychological abuse during childhood. In the academic literature, questioning participants on their abuse is an ongoing ethical debate. The cost-benefit analysis of asking about child abuse is often ignored, and researchers are often left with important research decisions that are ultimately based on individual beliefs on prevalence and effects of child abuse. The costs of not asking about abuse may actually be more significant than not asking (Becker-Blease & Freyd, 2006). Some have insisted for borderline personality to be relabeled as PTSD, as they can be confused for each other (McLean & Gallop, 2003). There is, however, a meaningful absence of confirmed reports in regards to the post-traumatic model. The hypothesis

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

that borderline traits result from abuse is based on self-reports of people with the diagnosis, who are known to lie compulsively (Snyder, 1986), and to be exactly the type of people who would benefit from the nurturing and professional care that would ensue. Paris (1998) found that most victims of childhood trauma are resilient, personality is heritable, and traumatic childhood experiences do not consistently lead to psychopathology. Moreover, women are *more* resilient to childhood traumatic events than men (McGloin & Widom, 2001). Bierer and colleagues (2003) did not find childhood sexual abuse to be a predictor of borderline in adulthood. The only significant predictor was emotional abuse, but was only significant in men. Girls with borderline have been identified for being at risk for false rape accusations (O'Donohue & Bowers, 2006). Bailey and Schriver (1999) questioned experienced psychiatrists and found that "patients with borderline personality disorder were rated as especially likely to misinterpret or misremember social interactions, to lie manipulatively and convincingly, and to have voluntarily entered destructive sexual relationships, possibly even at young ages" (p. 45). The validity of the childhood trauma is at best anecdotical, and one should remain cautious towards any claim of victimization from people with borderline personality disorder. If anything, this literature could be interpreted as a strategy to evoke nurturance.

Borderline personality disorder is diagnosed three times more often in women. Yet, it was originally related to the concept of female hysteria, which was believed to originate in the womb. However, psychiatry as a whole does not seem to condemn major tweaks to psychiatric diagnostic criteria to equalize gender statistics, as is the case with ADHD and autism. It is then debatable if this ratio can be interpreted rationally. Regardless of the sex ratio, the diagnosis of men with borderline is based on the widespread faulty assumption that characteristics of one sex can be equally applicable to the other (Cahill, 2006). Although the female-to-male ratio is 3:1,

there is a range of phenomena that apply mostly to women, for which borderline traits are the norm. The following section will explore that assertion.

The Ramifications of Borderline Personality Disorder

Regardless of the clinical ratio, borderline traits are often seen in other phenomena that are predominantly seen in women, which certainly undermines the true ratio. Furthermore, these phenomena often have little to no supporting objective evidence, and can be suspected that people with a borderline personality disorder diagnosis simply malinger nurturance. In terms of cost/benefit analysis, it is undeniable that many of these strategies represent opportunities to garner attention for little cost. That does not necessarily mean, however, that people with a borderline personality are aware of the motivations behind these actions.

Females with a borderline personality disorder diagnosis, like those with histrionic personality disorder, have been identified as being more prone to press false rape charges (O'Donohue & Bowers, 2006). False rape charges offer interesting opportunities to obtain nurturance from others, as they are often accepted at face value, at least in psychiatry. While people that were sexually abused are indistinguishable from controls on measures of depression, post-traumatic stress, fantasy proneness, and dissociation; patients with repressed memories recovered through psychoanalytic hypnosis scored higher (McNally, Clancy, Schacter, & Pitman, 2000). Hence, those with rape experiences recovered through flimflamming techniques often have borderline traits. Those with presumably legitimate memories of the abuse do not.

Factitious disorder is a condition that is typically seen in women. In a sample of 88 borderline patients, Links, Steiner, and Mitton (1989) found that 13% had factitious psychotic symptoms. Factitious disorders are usually thought to be motivated by regressive needs, fear of abandonment, need for caring, and nurturing. Feldman (cited by Adams, 2008) found borderline

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

traits in patient with factitious symptoms: self-destructiveness, itinerancy, problems developing and maintaining relationships, hostility, and pseudologia fantastica. Goldstein (1998) found that borderline traits are commonly found in patients with factitious disorders. Undiagnosed borderline traits, such as insecure attachment, are the norm behind factitious presentations. Noyes and colleagues (2003) found that hypochondriasis is associated with insecure attachment that in adults gives rise to abnormal care-seeking behavior. Hypochondriacal and somatic symptoms were positively correlated with all of the insecure attachment styles, especially the fearful style. These same symptoms were positively correlated with self-reported interpersonal problems and negatively correlated with patient ratings of satisfaction with, and reassurance from, medical care. Hypochondriacal and somatic symptoms were also positively correlated with neuroticism. When under stress as adults, somatizers use physical complaints to elicit care (Stuart & Noyes, 1999). Poor self-esteem and poorly defined self-concepts are other characteristics common to both factitious disorders and borderline personality disorder (Hamilton & Janata, 1997). Phillips, Ward, and Ries (1983) found that their sample with factitious bereavement presented with depression and suicidal ideation secondary to reported multiple dramatic deaths for which there was no available verification, and many had histories of factitious physical symptoms, manipulative suicide attempts, substance abuse, and sociopathy. Factitious symptoms can better be understood as one form of dysfunctional care-eliciting behavior.

Pseudologia fantastica is often seen in people with borderline (Snyder, 1986). This pathological need for lying is used to garner attention and caring.

People with borderline are at great risk of self-harming, insofar that it is part of the diagnostic criteria. One of the motivation for self-harm is expressing distress to obtain nurturance (Linehan, 1993; Paris, 2005).

Munchausen Syndrome by Proxy (MSBP) is a type of factitious disorder in which the mother secretly inflicts harm to her child to obtain medical care. This phenomenon is especially likely when the surroundings offer nurturance and praise in response to the brave mother of a sick child. Moreover, it is widely believed that perpetrator mothers use the child to pursue a relationship with charismatic and care providing physicians (Cramer, Gershberg, & Stern, 1971). Borderline features are often seen in MSBP, to the extent that MSBP has been suggested to be a subtype of borderline pathology (Nadelson, 1979). Ehlers and Plassmann (1994) found that half of their sample of 18 MSBP patients had borderline personality disorder, and that one third had narcissistic personality disorder, which has comorbidity rates of 32.2% with borderline (Grant et al., 2008). Adshead and Bluglass (2005) found that 82% of their sample of 67 MSBP mothers had insecure childhood attachments, and 60% had unresolved trauma or loss reactions. Gray and Bentovim (1996), based on a sample of 37 families, found that all the perpetrator mothers had suffered at least one of the following: privation, child abuse, psychiatric illness, or significant loss or bereavement, and that 40% had serious marital problems.

Conversion disorders are by definition any unfounded neurological symptom. Reported childhood abuse, neglect, dissociative symptoms, abuse, self-harm, and suicide attempts—which are typical of people with borderline—are frequently seen in those with conversion disorders (Şar, Akyüz, Kundakçı, Kızıltan, & Doğan, 2004).

As for many phenomena associated with borderline, multiple personalities (now dissociative identity disorder; DID) is highly controversial, as it has very weak and inconsistent

support in terms of validity. Two thirds of DID cases also fit the borderline personality criteria (Horewitz & Braun, 1984). The association is so strong that Benner and Joscelyne (1984) have argued that it should be classified as a borderline personality disorder. The existence of "multiple personalities" is highly questionable (Giesbrecht, Lynn, Lilienfeld, & Merckelbach, 2008; Lynn, Lilienfeld, Merckelbach, Giesbrecht, & van der Kloet, 2012), as its core assumptions are violated (e.g., Huntjens, Verschuere, & McNally, 2012). There is no study to this date that used verified claims of trauma from a representative sample of the population. Hence, the legitimizing factor will be disregarded in favor of the effect, i.e., nurturing possibilities originating from a social need.

In short, borderline traits are found in a myriad of unexplained phenomena that appear to be strategies to obtain nurturance and contact with reassuring, father-like, providing figures.

Moreover, some of these strategies are exclusively employed by women, which may undermine the 3:1 woman to man ratio. For instance, factitious disorder by proxy is conducted by the biological mother in 98% of cases. Factitious presentation is also a phenomenon that is predominantly seen in women.

Corrections to Baron-Cohen's Empathize/Systemize Dichotomy

Although people with borderline constitute a promising venue for understanding the extreme female brain, they do not represent exactly what would be expected from Baron-Cohen's theory. Putting aside their psychopathic traits (Sprague, Javdani, Sadeh, Newman, & Verona, 2012), they do not have a superior empathy, capability of deciphering social cues, or an unusually efficient theory of mind (see Lazarus, Cheavens, Festa, & Rosenthal, 2014, for a review). Although they are hyper-mentalizing (Sharp et al., 2011), people with borderline have been shown to be deficient in emotion recognition (Baez et al., 2015) and theory of mind (Baez

et al., 2015; Preißler, Dziobek, Ritter, Heekeren, & Roepke, 2010), although some have found no difference in cognitive empathy compared to controls (Dinsdale et al., 2016; Vaskinn et al., 2015). A revealing study by Franzen and colleagues (2011), provided a more comprehensive view of the psychology of people with borderline, that includes the motivational component of socialization that lacks in cognitive empathy tests. In a fairness game, controls and borderline patients were equally accurate at emotion recognition, but the latter was more dependent on others' emotions to judge their own fairness. These results parallel the findings of Levine, Marziali, and Hood (1997). In short, people with borderline are more socially dependent than socially skilled. Again, the empathizing/systemizing dichotomy needs to be regarded as a need rather than a skill, and defined by their underlying motivation, as borderlines cannot be considered socially skilled, or empathetic in the broader sense of the word.

Genetics and Borderline Personality Disorder

Disorders linked to excessive masculinity are usually highly heritable. Namely, ADHD and autism spectrum disorders have repeatedly been linked to low 2D:4D ratios (e.g., Auyeung et al., 2012; de Bruin, Verheij, Wiegman, & Ferdinand, 2006). Both are highly heritable and coheritable. Lichtenstein, Carlström, Råstam, Gillberg, and Anckarsäter (2010) found that genetic effects accounted for 80% of the variation in ASD and 79% in ADHD. In regards to coheritability, among monozygotic twins of children with autism, the probability of having a diagnosis of ADHD was 44%, compared to 15% among dizygotic twins (Lichtenstein et al., 2010). Importantly, 2D:4D ratios in girls are 66% heritable (Paul, Kato, Cherkas, Andrew, & Spector, 2006). If borderline is truly linked to hyper-femininity, this pattern should also be observed. Evidence suggests that it is. Amad, Ramoz, Thomas, Jardri, and Gorwood (2014)

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

estimate the heritability of borderline to be 40%. Like other conditions related to a pronounced sexual expression, borderline personality disorder is highly heritable.

Although the present framework suggests that borderline personality disorder is a good candidate of the extreme female brain, it needs to be addressed that one third of the diagnoses are made in men. However, many findings suggest that the true ratio might be more biased towards women than previously assumed. First, there are a vast range of other pathologies that share the neurotic presentation seen in borderline, that are exclusively seen in women. People with Munchausen Syndrome by Proxy are all women, and most have borderline features. Ratios which demonstrate similar base rates of borderline in men and women are often based on community samples (e.g., Grant et al., 2008), with no regard to confounding variables or referrals. Substance abuse, impulsivity, social anxiety, and sexual promiscuity are strongly linked to ADHD, but ADHD is not borderline (APA, 2013; Davids & Gastpar, 2005; Lampe et al., 2007; Nigg, Silk, Stavro, & Miller, 2005; Xenaki, & Pehlivanidis, 2015). Similarly, behaviors that are similar between men and women are often erroneously considered having the same motivation (Cahill, 2006). For instance, sexual promiscuity is the optimal evolutionary success for males of almost all species. In contrast, sexuality is the female resource (Baumeister & Vohs, 2004), and indiscriminate promiscuity is what women are evolutionarily wired to avoid. Promiscuous sexuality in females is linked to emotional distress (Ethier et al., 2006), physical unattractiveness (Walsh, 1993), borderline personality disorder (APA, 2013), susceptibility to sexual victimization (Perilloux, Duntley, & Buss, 2011), and attachment problems. As a thoughtprovoking example, depression is linked to females with many sexual partners, in contrast to men, in which it is unusually present in those with few partners (Weisfeld & Woodward, 2004). Hence, borderline personality might differ in motivation and expression, notably in the case of

promiscuity. Most importantly, if both brain and hormonal femininity promote borderline traits, their occurrence in men requires clarification.

Histrionic Personality Disorder

The DSM-5 defines histrionic personality disorder as "a pervasive pattern of excessive emotionality and attention seeking, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts" (APA, 2013, p. 667). Symptoms include: being uncomfortable in situations in which he or she is not the center of attention, inappropriate sexually seductive or provocative behavior, shallow expression of emotions, using physical appearance to draw attention to self, using an excessively impressionistic style of speech, self-dramatizing, theatricality, exaggerating expression of emotion, being suggestible, and considering relationships to be more intimate than they actually are.

There is not much to say about histrionics, as they are clearly incredibly successful social agents, as can be seen by their number of Facebook friends (Rosen, Whaling, Rab, Carrier, & Cheever, 2013), or their interpersonal style more broadly. They are always up to the latest fads, and familiar with everyone. As a symptom of autism is the unwillingness to share intentions and group movements (Tomasello, Carpenter, Call, Behne, & Moll, 2005), histrionic personality disorder is the opposite of this social behavior.

Histrionic personality disorder was argued to be the female phenotype of antisocial personality disorder by Cale and Lilienfeld (2002). Their empirical results were weak and inconsistent, but their methodology was questionable, as they tested histrionics on Newman's (1987) response modulation hypothesis of psychopathy. This assumes that men and women have a common pathway to psychopathy, as psychopathy scales are tailored for men specifically. As our conspecifics are the key to evolutionary success or failure, elevated interpersonal power

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

suggest a success at negotiating interpersonal power and influence. Fitness is achieved differently in men and women. As a striking example, men and women use different aggression strategies. Women use more relational aggression, such as excluding someone from a social network (see Wynn, Høiseth, & Pettersen, 2012 for an overview of psychopathy in women). Indeed, histrionic women have been identified as being at risk of making false rape charges (O'Donohue & Bowers, 2006). Kanin (1994) identified three main motivations behind false accusations: obtaining sympathy and attention, getting revenge, and providing an alibi. Likewise, McNamara, McDonald, and Lawrence (2012) found that 50% of their sample was motivated by attention-seeking and sympathy. It could be argued to be a form of fraud, for which male psychopaths are known for. Psychopathy in women might be achieved by interpersonal skill and power, resulting in lowered social fear, as opposed to psychopathic men, who might express psychopathic traits due to a lack of physical fear (Hosker-Field, Gauthier, & Book, 2016). Differential fear mechanisms would be based on differential evolutionary competitive aggressive patterns, namely indirect aggression, relational aggression, and social aggression for women (Archer & Coyne, 2005), and physical aggression for men (e.g., Archer, 2004). Since intrasexual competitive encounters usually involve a form of competition that establishes the fittest individual based on an evolutionarily adaptive trait, it is not surprising that men and women differ in aggression patterns. While a woman attempts to rob another woman from her social bonds, a man attempts to show himself as the most capable to generate and protect resources with physical capability.

Substantial support for the hyper-femininity perspective of histrionic personality disorder came from the measurement of finger length ratios of female psychopaths (Blanchard & Lyons,

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

2010). The results gave a statistically significant positive correlation of 0.45 between 2D:4D ratios and psychopathy in women.

Histrionic personality disorder is mostly diagnosed in women, although the exact sex ratio is unknown. Researchers and the DSM-5 are generally unwilling to divulgate the sex ratio, as it is repeatedly quoted that "the sex ratio is not significantly different than the sex ratio of females within the respective clinical setting" (APA, 2013, p. 668). There is a range of diagnostic features that do not really apply to men, such as "consistently use physical appearance" to draw attention to themselves", which is quite revealing as histrionic women are the only subgroup of "disordered" women linked to physical attractiveness (Bornstein, 1999). This higher physical attractiveness is not seen in men, so it remains to be clarified how unattractive men use their physical appearance to draw attention (excluding paraphilias, such as exhibitionism). "[Histrionics] may "fish for compliments" regarding appearance and be easily and excessively upset by a critical comment about how they look or by a photograph that they regard as unflattering" (APA, 2013, p. 668). Most social media users might confirm that this behavior is seldom seen in men, and applies to more than the 2–3% prevalence that the DSM-5 suggests. The DSM-5 expresses that "[histrionics] often act out a role (e.g., "victim" or "princess")" (APA, 2013, p. 668), which, once again, does not seem to apply to non-paraphilic male behavior. The existence of histrionic personality disorder stems from hysteria, which was linked to women, the female genitalia, and femininity since Ancient Egypt. In short, it seems like unwillingness to divulge sex ratios in clinical psychology stems from a general unwillingness to accept the reality of sex differences (Cahill, 2006). Clinically naïve participants associate histrionic personality to women five times more than to men (Rienzi & Scrams, 1991).

Histrionic personality disorder is highly linked to borderline personality disorder. Among histrionic females, 36% are also borderline. Among those with borderline, 10.3% are histrionic (Grant et al., 2008).

Dependent Personality Disorder

Dependent personality disorder also represents an interesting candidate for a behavioral expression of the extreme female brain. If the female brain is more responsive, gratified, and motivated to pursue and maintain social bonds, it might be expressed as excessive investment and dependence in social relationships on a small scale, without a severely neurotic or theatrical component. Dependent personality is defined by the DSM-5 as "a pervasive and excessive need to be taken care of that leads to submissive and clinging behavior and fears of separation, beginning by early adulthood and present in a variety of contexts". Symptoms include having needing others to assume responsibility for most major areas of his or her life, having difficulty expressing disagreement with others because of fear of loss of support or approval, having difficulty initiating projects or doing things on his or her own, going to excessive lengths to obtain nurturance and support from others, feeling uncomfortable or helpless when alone, and urgently seeking another relationship as a source of care and support when a close relationship ends (APA, 2013, p. 675).

While it seems intuitive to nominate disorders with an extremely neurotic presentation as the extreme female brain, girls with borderline who ameliorate their outcome most likely still attach importance to interpersonal bonds. The presentation of borderline without its acute neurotic features would resemble dependent personality disorder—frantic efforts to avoid real or imagined abandonment and a pattern of intense relationships. Perhaps dependent women share the brain femininity with those who have borderline personality disorder, without the behavioral

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

tendencies originating from elevated estrogen levels. Unfortunately, very little research is done on dependent personality disorder.

Like borderline, dependent personality is highly heritable. Heritability rates are estimated to be from 55% to 72% (Gjerde et al., 2012). People with dependent personality disorder have a non-dominant, submissive interpersonal style, like those with borderline (Russell, Moskowitz, Zuroff, Sookman, & Paris, 2007). Kaplan (1983) argued that dependent personality disorder is nothing more than a woman conforming to society's idea of a woman, and that behaving in a feminine stereotyped manner alone is sufficient to get a DSM-III diagnosis. Oddly the author remains silent over the fact that this is also true for males, but combined with a neurological justification and a psychoactive solution. Klonsky, Jane, Turkheimer, and Oltmanns (2002) found that in a sample of 665 college students, dependent personality traits were related to higher femininity and lower masculinity in men and women. As indicated by their absent initiative and risk-taking, people with dependent personality disorder have an external locus of control, which is negatively correlated to masculinity (Richards, Stewart-Williams, & Reed, 2015). Their appeasing interpersonal style is sacrificing autonomy to maintain social bonds. For instance, avoiding negotiating, arguing, or discussing. It is an extreme form of the principle of least interest, that explains that people hold more power in relationships in which they have the least interest (Sprecher, Schmeeckle, & Felmlee, 2006). In essence, dependent personality disorder is a life strategy motivated by strong social dependence needs.

Bridging Candidates of the Extreme Female Brain as Evolutionary Strategies Individuals with the covered personality disorders represent a critical and revealing insight into evolutionary-based motivation. Mating strategies differ greatly between men and women (Schmitt, 2015), and are rarely placed in a context-dependent framework. Different

mating strategies co-vary with behavioral (or clinical) profiles, which might reveal underlying motivations. It is known that women have a social need. It is also known that women have a need to regulate their sexual value (Baumeister & Vohs, 2004). Both can be seen as intertwined variables to manage for an optimal evolutionary success.

Dependent, borderline, and histrionic personality disorders are three disorders primarily defined by an interpersonal component. Dependent and histrionic personality disorders have been argued to be strongly linked to dependent personality disorder, as both are inflexible, exaggerated dependency needs (Bornstein & Malka, 2009), and the borderline personality certainly fits under this description as well. Dependent and histrionic personality disorders are believed to have emerged from hysterical personality in the DSM-II (Disney, 2013).

A major distinguishing factor between them is their relative success at fulfilling their social need. While all three involve a certain social dependency, it differs on the scale and type of dependence. This is consistent with the hypothesis that the female brain is highly motivated to be active in the social world. Reproductive success in women does not solely depend on their social success. Having the greatest investment in offspring, women need to carefully choose their sexual partners (Schmitt, 2015). As a matter of fact, sexual promiscuity in females is linked to high-scores on measures of depression (Grello, Welsh, & Harper, 2006; Weisfeld & Woodward, 2004), emotional distress (Ethier et al., 2006), and psychological distress (Fielder & Carey, 2010; Glenn & Marquardt, 2001), which is the opposite pattern than that of men's. The sexual tendencies of the three personality disorders covered reveals different mating selection and retention strategies.

Borderline personality disorder is a neurotic form of dependent personality disorder.

They crave their partner's commitment and sometimes engage in frantic and irrational acts to

avoid abandonment, such as accusing others of rape and violence, or having children for materetention purposes. They are extremely dependent on a single relationship, until this relationship
suddenly loses all interest and another one is more inviting. People with borderline are always
dependent on someone, but the target of this dependency is rapidly changing. Thus, they
sacrifice sexual value to retain social relationships, as they are usually quite sexually
promiscuous (Hurlbert, Apt, & White, 1992; Mangassarian, Sumner, & O'Callaghan, 2015). In
essence, they obtain masculine investment at an extremely high cost, which also depletes
extremely fast. They are usually overweight (Sansone, Wiederman, & Monteith, 2001).

Borderline scores correlate 0.44 with body mass index. Similar to histrionics, they use sexuality
to obtain men's attention, but "engage" in the sex that histrionics tease with. Women with
borderline have more sexual assertiveness and erotophilic attitudes, meaning that they are more
willing to give the sex to obtain what they want (Hurlbert et al., 1992). As such, they *spend* the
sexual currency (Baumeister & Vohs, 2004) that they possess to obtain male investment, which
histrionics are known to withhold.

Histrionics are extremely dependent on the social world, but unlike the other two personality profiles mentioned, they are dependent on a large number of people. In essence, histrionics correspond more or less of what a typical feminine woman would dream to embody, if the feminine psychology was indeed defined by a drive for socialization. Physical attractiveness, committed and obsessive providing partner, and an extremely large social circle. In regards to sexual attitudes, histrionic women have lower sexual assertiveness and have erotophobic attitudes, demonstrating a lower willingness to have sex *per se*, but show more sexual preoccupation, lower sexual desire, more marital dissatisfaction, more sexual boredom, more orgasmic dysfunction, higher sexual self-esteem, and greater likelihood to have an

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

extramarital affair (Apt & Hurlbert, 1994). This suggests that they have more sexual potential, and apply it discriminately. They are more likely to cheat, which suggests that monogamous relationships limit their perceived potential gains. People with histrionic personality disorder are more physically attractive than other personality disorders or no personality disorders (Bornstein, 1999). If achievable and maintainable, a histrionic presentation is the most evolutionarily attractive, as it entails ultra-socialization, and also selective—but high in value—sexuality. Histrionics are also known to tease men by appearing sexually interested, but are also known to refuse actual contact (APA, 2013). As such, they successfully bargain interactions with men without using their sexual currency. Teasing can be conceptualized as an unwillingness to reciprocate a man's investment. Their life strategy seems effective from an evolutionary standpoint, as the bored histrionic vs. obsessive husband was once the most encountered case in marital therapists (Martin & Waldo Bird, 1959). Although it seems like an adaptive strategy, it also entails great social risks. Being loud and sexually provocative provides many opportunities for "bitching". As in psychopathy in men, it could be argued that histrionics play the evolutionary game with the highest stakes.

Dependent personality disorder is a small scale dependence pattern, usually on a central romantic figure that defines their lifestyle, tastes, activities, and values. In short, they are defined by a single relationship in which they are deeply invested. As dependent girls usually have few to no friendships, they can use their sexual value to satisfy this social urge in a romantic relationship. Their social life is usually limited to one idealized partner. People with a dependent personality seek relationships rapidly after one has ended, and become "indiscriminately attached to another individual" (APA, 2013, p. 676). This involves collateral damage, however, as they exert little discrimination in their mate choice. In this way, superordinate goals (quality of the

genetic material) are sacrificed for basic socializing needs. In sum, both social success and sexual success are low in people with dependent personality disorder, as they have few social bonds, and an indiscriminate mating pattern. However, it offers stability.

498 Conclusion

It seems accurate that the extreme female brain is defined by social hyper-sensitivity, as the three mentioned disorders, characterized by an excessive social motivation, are all more prevalent in women. All of the presented disorders are linked to excessive fear of abandonment and reassurance (APA, 2013). This parallels the finding that 2D:4D ratios are positively correlated to an external locus of control, i.e., the perception of effectively affecting outcomes (Richards et al., 2015). It suggests that the female brain is expressed by an accentuated social dependency, relative to the male brain.

This essay investigated the concept of an extreme female brain, originally based on Baron-Cohen's Extreme Male Brain (1997, 2002, 2009) and Theory of Mind (Baron-Cohen, Leslie, & Frith, 1985) theories of autism. The empathizing/systemizing theory proposes that the extreme female brain would be characterized by superior mentalizing, but deficient systemizing. Hence, the current review has argued that borderline, dependent, and histrionic personality disorders are the best candidates to portray the extreme female brain, as they are all defined by a strong social, empathizing need, and their epidemiology is strongly biased towards women. Their success at being a social agent varies greatly. Whereas histrionics are clearly highly functioning socially, the same cannot be said about borderlines, who have average (Dinsdale et al., 2016) to poor social skills (Baez et al., 2015; Bouchard, Lussier, Sabourin, & Villeneuve, 2009; Franzen et al., 2011; Levine et al., 1997), although they are indeed hyper-mentalizing (Sharp et al., 2011).

that do not necessarily translate into superior skills. In aggregate, the hypothesis that the extreme female brain is characterized by a strong empathizing drive is more than plausible, and gaining materiality.

521	References
522	Adams, S. M. (2008). Playing Sick? Untangling the web of Munchausen Syndrome,
523	Munchausen by proxy, malingering, and factitious disorder. Primary Care Companion to
524	the Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 10(4), 335.
525	Adshead, G., & Bluglass, K. (2005). Attachment representations in mothers with abnormal
526	illness behaviour by proxy. The British Journal of Psychiatry, 187(4), 328–333.
527	doi:10.1192/bjp.187.4.328
528	Amad, A., Ramoz, N., Thomas, P., Jardri, R., & Gorwood, P. (2014). Genetics of borderline
529	personality disorder: Systematic review and proposal of an integrative
530	model. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 40(1), 6–19.
531	doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.01.003
532	American Psychiatric Association. (2013). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental
533	disorders (5th ed.). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association Publishing.
534	Apt, C., & Hurlbert, D. F. (1994). The sexual attitudes, behavior, and relationships of women
535	with histrionic personality disorder. Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 20(2), 125–134.
536	doi:10.1080/00926239408403423
537	Archer, J. (2004). Sex differences in aggression in real-world settings: A meta-analytic review.
538	Review of General Psychology, 8(4), 291–322. doi:10.1037/1089-2680.8.4.291
539	Archer, J., & Coyne, S. M. (2005). An integrated review of indirect, relational, and social
540	aggression. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 9(3), 212-230.
541	doi:10.1207/s15327957pspr0903_2
542	Attwood, T. B. (2007). The complete guide to Asperger's syndrome. London: Jessica Kingsley
543	Publishers.

544	Austin, E. J., Manning, J. T., McInroy, K., & Mathews, E. (2002). A preliminary investigation of
545	the associations between personality, cognitive ability and digit ratio. Personality and
546	Individual Differences, 33(1), 1115–1124. doi:10.1016/S0191-8869(02)00002-8
547	Auyeung, B., Ahluwalia, J., Thomson, L., Taylor, K., Hackett, G., O'Donnell, K. J., & Baron-
548	Cohen, S. (2012). Prenatal versus postnatal sex steroid hormone effects on autistic traits
549	in children at 18 to 24 months of age. Molecular Autism, 3(17), 557–571.
550	doi:10.1007/s00424-013-1268-2
551	Auyeung, B., Baron-Cohen, S., Ashwin, E., Knickmeyer, R., Taylor, K., & Hackett, G. (2009).
552	Fetal testosterone and autistic traits. British Journal of Psychology, 100(1), 1–22.
553	doi:10.1348/000712608X311731
554	Baez, S., Marengo, J., Perez, A., Huepe, D., Font, F. G., Rial, V., Ibanez, A. (2015). Theory
555	of mind and its relationship with executive functions and emotion recognition in
556	borderline personality disorder. Journal of Neuropsychology, 9(2), 203–218.
557	doi:10.1111/jnp.12046
558	Bailey, J. M., & Shriver, A. (1999). Does childhood sexual abuse cause borderline personality
559	disorder? Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 25(1), 45-57.
560	doi:10.1080/00926239908403976
561	Baron-Cohen, S. (1997). Is autism an extreme form of the "male brain"? Advances in Infancy
562	Research, 11(1), 193-217. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(02)01904-6
563	Baron-Cohen, S. (2002). The extreme male brain theory of autism. Trends in Cognitive
564	Sciences, 6(6), 248–254. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(02)01904-6
565	Baron-Cohen, S., Leslie, A. M., & Frith, U. (1985). Does the autistic child have a "theory of
566	mind"? Cognition, 21(1), 37-46. doi:10.1016/0010-0277(85)90022-8

567	Baumeister, R. F., & Vohs, K. D. (2004). Sexual economics: Sex as female resource for social
568	exchange in heterosexual interactions. Personality and Social Psychology Review, 8(4),
569	339–363. doi:10.1207/s15327957pspr0804_2
570	Becker-Blease, K. A., & Freyd, J. J. (2006). Research participants telling the truth about their
571	lives: The ethics of asking and not asking about abuse. American Psychologist, 61(3),
572	218–226. doi:10.1037/0003-066X.61.3.218
573	Benner, D. G., & Joscelyne, B. (1984). Multiple personality as a borderline disorder. <i>The Journal</i>
574	of Nervous and Mental Disease, 172(2), 98–104.
575	Bierer, L. M., Yehuda, R., Schmeidler, J., Mitropoulou, V., New, A. S., Silverman, J. M., &
576	Siever, L. J. (2003). Abuse and neglect in childhood: Relationship to personality disorder
577	diagnoses. CNS Spectrums, 8(10), 737–754. doi:10.1017/S1092852900019118
578	Blanchard, A., & Lyons, M. (2010). An investigation into the relationship between digit length
579	ratio (2D:4D) and psychopathy. British Journal of Forensic Practice, 12(2), 23-31.
580	doi:10.5042/bjfp.2010.0183
581	Bornstein, R. (1999). Histrionic personality disorder, physical attractiveness, and social
582	adjustment. Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment, 21(1), 79–94.
583	doi:10.1023/A:1022816428515
584	Bornstein, R. F., & Malka, I. L. (2009). Dependent and histrionic personality disorders. In P. H.
585	Blaney, & T. Millon (Eds.), Oxford textbook of psychopathology (2nd ed., pp. 602–621).
586	New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
587	Bouchard, S., Sabourin, S., Lussier, Y., & Villeneuve, E. (2009). Relationship quality and
588	stability in couples when one partner suffers from borderline personality disorder.

589	Journal of Marital & Family Therapy, 35(1), 446-455. doi:10.1111/j.1752-
590	0606.2009.00151.x
591	Buss, D. M., & Schmitt, D. P. (1993). Sexual strategies theory: an evolutionary perspective on
592	human mating. Psychological review, 100(2), 204–232. doi: 10.1037/0033-
593	295X.100.2.204
594	Cahill, L. (2006). Why sex matters for neuroscience. <i>Nature Reviews. Neuroscience</i> , 7(6), 477–
595	484. doi:10.1038/nrn1909
596	Cale, E. M., & Lilienfeld, S. O. (2002). Histrionic personality disorder and antisocial personality
597	disorder: Sex-differentiated manifestations of psychopathy? Journal of Personality
598	Disorders, 16(1), 52-72. doi:10.1521/pedi.16.1.52.22557
599	Charman, T., Carroll, F., & Sturge, C. (2001). Theory of mind, executive function and social
600	competence in boys with ADHD. Emotional and behavioural difficulties, 6(1), 31–49.
601	doi: 10.1080/13632750100507654
602	Chevallier, C., Kohls, G., Troiani, V., Brodkin, E. S., & Schultz, R. T. (2012). The social
603	motivation theory of autism. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 16(4), 231-239. doi:
604	10.1016/j.tics.2012.02.007
605	Cramer, B., Gershberg, M. R., & Stern, M. (1971). Munchausen syndrome: Its relationship to
606	malingering, hysteria, and the physician-patient relationship. Archives of General
607	Psychiatry, 24(6), 573-578. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.1971.01750120089015.
608	D'Ambrosio, A., Casillo, N., & Martini, V. (2014). Piercings and tattoos: Psychopathological
609	aspects. Activitas Nervosa Superior Rediviva, 55(4), 143–148.

610	Davids, E., & Gastpar, M. (2005). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and borderline
611	personality disorder. Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry
612	29(6), 865–877. doi: 10.1016/j.pnpbp.2005.04.033
613	de Bruin, E., Verheij, F., Wiegman, T., & Ferdinand, R. F. (2006). Differences in finger length
614	ratio between males with autism, pervasive developmental disorder-not otherwise
615	specified, ADHD, and anxiety disorders. Developmental Medicine & Child
616	Neurology, 48(12), 962–965. doi:10.1111/j.1469–8749.2006.tb01266.x
617	Delfour, M., Moreau, A., Laconi, S., Goutaudier, N., & Chabrol, H. (2015). Utilisation
618	problématique de facebook à l'adolescence et au jeune âge adulte. Neuropsychiatrie De
619	l'Enfance Et De l'Adolescence, 63(4), 244–249. doi:10.1016/j.neurenf.2014.09.005
620	De Panfilis, C., Riva, P., Preti, E., Cabrino, C., & Marchesi, C. (2015). When social inclusion is
621	not enough: Implicit expectations of extreme inclusion in borderline personality disorder
622	Personality Disorders: Theory, Research, And Treatment, 6(4), 301-309.
623	doi:10.1037/per0000132
624	DeSoto, M. C., Geary, D. C., Hoard, M. K., Sheldon, M. S., & Cooper, L. (2003) Estrogen
625	fluctuations, oral contraceptives and borderline personality.
626	Psychoneuroendocrinology, 28(6), 751–766. doi:10.1016/S0306-4530(02)00068-9
627	Dinsdale, N., Mokkonen, M., & Crespi, B. (2016). The 'extreme female brain': Increased
628	cognitive empathy as a dimension of psychopathology. Evolution and Human Behavior,
629	doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2016.02.003
630	Disney, K. L. (2013). Dependent personality disorder: A critical review. Clinical psychology
631	review, 33(8), 1184-1196. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2013.10.001

632	Ehlers, W., & Plassmann, R. (1994). Diagnosis of narcissistic self-esteem regulation in patients
633	with factitious illness (munchausen syndrome). Psychotherapy and
634	Psychosomatics, 62(1-2), 69-77. doi:10.1159/000288906
635	Eisenlohr-Moul, T. A., DeWall, C. N., Girdler, S. S., & Segerstrom, S. C. (2015). Ovarian
636	hormones and borderline personality disorder features: Preliminary evidence for
637	interactive effects of estradiol and progesterone. Biological Psychology, 10937-52.
638	doi:10.1016/j.biopsycho.2015.03.016
639	Ethier, K. A., Kershaw, T. S., Lewis, J. B., Milan, S., Niccolai, L. M., & Ickovics, J. R. (2006).
640	Self-esteem, emotional distress and sexual behavior among adolescent females: Inter-
641	relationships and temporal effects. Journal of Adolescent Health, 38(3), 268–274.
642	doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2004.12.010
643	Evardone, M., Alexander, G. M., & Morey, L. C. (2008). Hormones and borderline personality
644	features. Personality and Individual Differences, 44(1), 278–287.
645	doi:10.1016/j.paid.2007.08.007
646	Fielder, R. L., & Carey, M. P. (2010). Predictors and consequences of sexual "hookups" among
647	college students: A short-term perspective study. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 39(1),
648	1105–1119. doi:10.1007/s10508-008-9448-4
649	Fink, B., Manning, J. T., & Neave, N. (2004). Second to fourth digit ratio and the 'big five'
650	personality factors. Personality and Individual Differences, 37(3), 495-503.
651	doi:10.1016/j.paid.2003.09.018
652	Franzen, N., Hagenhoff, M., Baer, N., Schmidt, A., Mier, D., Sammer, G., Lis, S. (2011).
653	Superior 'theory of mind' in borderline personality disorder: An analysis of interaction

654	behavior in a virtual trust game. Psychiatry Research, 187(1-2), 224-233.
655	doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2010.11.012
656	Giesbrecht, T., Lynn, S. J., Lilienfeld, S. O., & Merckelbach, H. (2008). Cognitive processes in
657	dissociation: An analysis of core theoretical assumptions. Psychological Bulletin, 134(5),
658	617–647. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.134.5.617
659	Gjerde, L. C., Czajkowski, N., Røysamb, E., Ørstavik, R. E., Knudsen, G. P., Østby, K.,
660	Reichborn-Kjennerud, T. (2012). The heritability of avoidant and dependent personality
661	disorder assessed by personal interview and questionnaire. Acta Psychiatrica
662	Scandinavica 126(6): 448–57. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.2012.01862.x
663	Glenn, N., & Marquardt, E. (2001). Hooking up, hanging out, and hoping for Mr. Right: College
664	women on dating and mating today. New York: Institute for American Values.
665	Goldstein, A. B. (1998). Identification and classification of factitious disorders: An analysis of
666	cases reported during a ten year period. The International Journal of Psychiatry in
667	Medicine, 28(2), 221–241. doi:10.2190/8LRP-5YTD-3VP2-3HC
668	Grant, B. F., Chou, S. P., Goldstein, R. B., Huang, B., Stinson, F. S., Saha, T. D., Ruan, W. J
669	(2008). Prevalence, correlates, disability, and comorbidity of DSM-IV borderline
670	personality disorder: Results from the wave 2 national epidemiologic survey on alcohol
671	and related conditions. The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry, 69(4), 533-545.
672	doi:10.4088/PCC.08m00679
673	Gray, J., & Bentovim, A. (1996). Illness induction syndrome: Paper I—A series of 41 children
674	from 37 families identified at the great ormond street hospital for children NHS
675	trust. Child Abuse & Neglect, 20(8), 655-673. doi:10.1016/0145-2134(96)00055-5

676	Grello, C.M., Welsh, D.P., & Harper, M.S. (2006). No strings attached: The nature of casual sex
677	in college students. Journal of Sex Research, 43(1), 255-267.
678	Hamilton, J. C., & Janata, J. W. (1997). Dying to be ill: The role of self-enhancement motives in
679	the spectrum of factitious disorders. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology, 16(1),
680	178–199. doi:10.1521/jscp.1997.16.2.178
681	Hanoch, Y., Gummerum, M., & Rolison, J. (2012). Second-to-fourth digit ratio and impulsivity:
682	A comparison between offenders and nonoffenders. PloS One, 7(1), e47140.
683	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047140
684	Head, A. M., McGillivray, J. A., & Stokes, M. A. (2014). Gender differences in emotionality and
685	sociability in children with autism spectrum disorders. <i>Molecular Autism</i> , 5(19), 1–9.
686	doi:10.1186/2040-2392-5-19
687	Horevitz, R. P., & Braun, B. G. (1984). Are multiple personalities borderline? An analysis of 33
688	cases. Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 7(1), 69–87.
689	Hosker-Field, A. M., Gauthier, N. Y., & Book, A. S. (2016). If not fear, then what? A
690	preliminary examination of psychopathic traits and the fear enjoyment hypothesis.
691	Personality and Individual Differences, 90(1), 278–282. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2015.11.016
692	Huntjens, R. J. C., Verschuere, B., & McNally, R. J. (2012). Inter-identity autobiographical
693	amnesia in patients with dissociative identity disorder. PloS One, 7(7), e40580.
694	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040580
695	Hurlbert, D. F., Apt, C., & White, L. C. (1992). An empirical examination into the sexuality of
696	women with borderline personality disorder. Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, 18(3),
697	231–242. doi:10.1080/00926239208403409

698 Kanin, E. (1994). False rape allegations. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 23(1), 81–92. 699 doi:10.1007/BF01541619 700 Kaplan, M. (1983). A woman's view of DSM-III. American Psychologist, 38(7), 786–792. 701 doi:10.1037/0003-066X.38.7.786 702 Klonsky, E. D., Jane, J. S., Turkheimer, E., & Oltmanns, T. F. (2002). Gender role and 703 personality disorders. Journal of Personality Disorders, 16(5), 464–476. 704 doi:10.1521/pedi.16.5.464.22121 Lampe, K., Konrad, K., Kroener, S., Fast, K., Kunert, H. Â., & Herpertz, S. Â. (2007). 705 706 Neuropsychological and behavioural disinhibition in adult ADHD compared to 707 borderline personality disorder. *Psychological Medicine*, 37(12), 1717–1729. 708 doi:10.1017/S0033291707000517 709 Larson, F. V., Lai, M., Wagner, A. P., MRC AIMS Consortium, Baron-Cohen, S., & Holland, A. J. (2015). Testing the 'Extreme female brain' theory of psychosis in adults with autism 710 711 spectrum disorder with or without co-morbid psychosis. PloS One, 10(6), 1–14. 712 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0128102 713 Lazarus, S. A., Cheavens, J. S., Festa, F., & Rosenthal, M. Z. (2014). Interpersonal functioning 714 in borderline personality disorder: A systematic review of behavioral and laboratory-715 based assessments. Clinical Psychology Review, 34(3), 193–205. 716 doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2014.01.007 717 Levine, D., Marziali, E., & Hood, J. (1997). Emotion processing in borderline personality 718 disorder. Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 185(1), 240–246.

doi:10.1097/00005053-199704000-00004

720	Lichtenstein, P., Carlström, E., Råstam, M., Gillberg, C., & Anckarsäter, H. (2010). The genetics
721	of autism spectrum disorders and related neuropsychiatric disorders in
722	childhood. American Journal of Psychiatry, 167(11), 1357–1363.
723	doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.10020223
724	Lindová, J., Hrušková, M., Pivoňková, V., Kuběna, A., & Flegr, J. (2008). Digit ratio (2D:4D)
725	and Cattell's personality traits. European Journal of Personality, 22(4), 347–356.
726	doi:10.1002/per.664
727	Linehan, M. M. (1993). Cognitive-behavioral treatment of borderline personality disorder. New
728	York, NY: Guilford Press.
729	Links, P. S., Steiner, M., & Mitton, J. (1989). Characteristics of psychosis in borderline
730	personality disorder. <i>Psychopathology</i> , 22(4), 188–193. doi:10.1159/000284595
731	Lynn, S. J., Lilienfeld, S. O., Merckelbach, H., Giesbrecht, T., & van der Kloet, D. (2012).
732	Dissociation and dissociative disorders challenging conventional wisdom. Current
733	Directions in Psychological Science, 21(1), 48–53. doi:10.1177/0963721411429457
734	Mangassarian, S., Sumner, L., & O'Callaghan, E. (2015). Sexual impulsivity in women
735	diagnosed with borderline personality disorder: A review of the literature. Sexual
736	Addiction & Compulsivity, 22(3), 195-206. doi:10.1080/10720162.2015.1017781
737	Maraz, A., Urbán, R., & Demetrovics, Z. (2016). Borderline personality disorder and compulsive
738	buying: A multivariate etiological model. Addictive Behaviors, 60117-123.
739	doi:10.1016/j.addbeh.2016.04.003
740	Martin, P. A., & Waldo Bird, H. (1959). A marriage pattern: The "lovesick" wife and the "cold,
741	sick" husband. Psychiatry, 22(3), 245–249. doi:10.1521/00332747.1959.11023177

742	McGloin, J. M., & Widom, C. S. (2001). Resilience among abused and neglected children grown
743	up. Development and Psychopathology, 13(4), 1021–1038.
744	McLean, L. M., & Gallop, R. (2003). Implications of childhood sexual abuse for adult borderline
745	personality disorder and complex posttraumatic stress disorder. American Journal of
746	Psychiatry, 160(2), 369–371. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.160.2.369
747	McNally, R. J., Clancy, S. A., Schacter, D. L., & Pitman, R. K. (2000). Personality profiles,
748	dissociations, and absorption in women reporting repressed, recovered, or continuous
749	memories of childhood sexual abuse. Journal of Consulting and Clinical
750	Psychology, 68(6), 1033.
751	McNamara, J. J., McDonald, S. and Lawrence, J. M. (2012), Characteristics of false allegation
752	adult crimes. Journal of Forensic Sciences, 57(1), 643-646. doi:10.1111/j.1556-
753	4029.2011.02019.x
754	Meffert, H., Gazzola, V., den Boer, J., A., Bartels, A. A. J., & Keysers, C. (2013). Reduced
755	spontaneous but relatively normal deliberate vicarious representations in psychopathy.
756	Brain, 136(8), 2550–2562. doi:10.1093/brain/awt190
757	Melnick, S., & Hinshaw, S. (1996). What they want and what they get: The social goals of boys
758	with ADHD and comparison boys. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology, 24(2), 169-
759	185. doi:10.1007/BF01441483
760	Nadelson, T. (1979). The Munchausen spectrum. General Hospital Psychiatry, 1(1), 11–17.
761	doi:10.1016/0163-8343(79)90073-2
762	Newman, J. P. (1987). Reaction to punishment in extraverts and psychopaths: Implications for
763	the impulsive behavior of disinhibited individuals. Journal of Research in
764	Personality, 21(4), 464–480, doi:10.1016/0092-6566(87)90033-X

- Nigg, J. T., Silk, K. R., Stavro, G., & Miller, T. (2005). Disinhibition and borderline personality
- disorder. Development and Psychopathology, 17(4), 1129–1149.
- 767 doi:10.1017/S0954579405050534
- Noves Jr, R., Stuart, S. P., Langbehn, D. R., Happel, R. L., Longley, S. L., Muller, B. A., &
- Yagla, S. J. (2003). Test of an interpersonal model of hypochondriasis. *Psychosomatic*
- 770 *Medicine*, 65(2), 292–300.
- O'Donohue, W., & Bowers, A. H. (2006). Pathways to false allegations of sexual
- harassment. *Journal of Investigative Psychology and Offender Profiling*, 3(1), 47–74.
- 773 doi:10.1002/jip.43
- Paris, J. (1998). Does childhood trauma cause personality disorders in adults? *Canadian Journal*
- 775 of Psychiatry, 43(2), 148–153.
- Paris, J. (2005). Understanding self-mutilation in borderline personality disorder. *Harvard*
- 777 Review of Psychiatry, 13(3), 179–185. doi:10.1080/10673220591003614
- Paul, S. N., Kato, B. S., Cherkas, L. F., Andrew, T., & Spector, T. D. (2006). Heritability of the
- second to fourth digit ratio (2d:4d): A twin study. Twin Research and Human Genetics,
- 780 9(2), 215–219. doi:10.1375/twin.9.2.215
- 781 Payne, J. L. (2003). The role of estrogen in mood disorders in women. *International Review of*
- 782 *Psychiatry*, 15(3), 280–290. doi:10.1080/0954026031000136893
- Perilloux, C., Duntley, J. D., & Buss, D. M. (2011). Susceptibility to sexual victimization and
- women's mating strategies. Personality and Individual Differences, 51(6), 783–786.
- 785 doi:10.1016/j.paid.2011.06.032
- Phillips, M. R., Ward, N. G., & Ries, R. K. (1983). Factitious mourning: Painless
- patienthood. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 140(4), 420–425.

788 Preißler, S., Dziobek, I., Ritter, K., Heekeren, H. R., & Roepke, S. (2010). Social cognition in 789 borderline personality disorder: Evidence for disturbed recognition of the emotions, 790 thoughts, and intentions of others. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience, 4(1), 1–8. 791 doi:10.3389/fnbeh.2010.00182. 792 Putz, D. A., Gaulin, S. J. C., Sporter, R. J., & McBurney, D. H. (2004). Sex hormones and finger 793 length: What does 2D:4D indicate? Evolution and Human Behavior, 25(3), 182–199. 794 doi:10.1016/j.evolhumbehav.2004.03.005 795 Redei, E., & Freeman, E. W. (1995). Daily plasma estradiol and progesterone levels over the 796 menstrual cycle and their relation to premenstrual symptoms. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 797 20(3), 259–267. doi:10.1016/0306-4530(94)00057-H 798 Richards, G., Stewart-Williams, S., & Reed, P. (2015). Associations between digit ratio (2D:4D) 799 and locus of control. Personality and Individual Differences, 83(1), 102–105. 800 doi:10.1016/j.paid.2015.03.047 801 Richell, R. A., Mitchell, D. G. V., Newman, C., Leonard, A., Baron-Cohen, S., & Blair, R. J. R. (2003). Theory of mind and psychopathy: Can psychopathic individuals read the 802 803 'language of the eyes'? Neuropsychologia, 41(1), 523–526. 804 Rienzi, B. M., & Scrams, D. J. (1991). Gender stereotypes for paranoid, antisocial, compulsive, 805 dependent, and histrionic personality disorders. Psychological Reports, 69(1), 976–978. 806 doi:10.2466/PR0.69.7.976-978 807 Trivers, R. (1972). Parental investment and sexual selection. Sexual Selection & the Descent of 808 Man, Aldine de Gruyter, New York, 136–179. 809 Rosen, L. D., Whaling, K., Rab, S., Carrier, L. M., & Cheever, N. A. (2013). Is facebook 810 creating "iDisorders"? The link between clinical symptoms of psychiatric disorders and

811	technology use, attitudes and anxiety. Computers in Human Behavior, 29(3), 1243-1254
812	doi:10.1016/j.chb.2012.11.012
813	Russell, J. J., Moskowitz, D. S., Zuroff, D. C., Sookman, D., & Paris, J. (2007). Stability and
814	variability of affective experience and interpersonal behavior in borderline personality
815	disorder. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 116(3), 578-588. doi:10.1037/0021-
816	843X.116.3.578
817	Sansone, R. A., Wiederman, M. W., & Monteith, D. (2001). Obesity, borderline personality
818	symptomatology, and body image among women in a psychiatric outpatient setting.
819	International Journal of Eating Disorders, 29(1), 76–79.
820	Şar, V., Akyüz, G., Kundakçı, T., Kızıltan, E., & Doğan, O. (2004). Childhood trauma,
821	dissociation, and psychiatric comorbidity in patients with conversion disorder. American
822	Journal of Psychiatry, 161(12), 2271–2276. doi:10.1176/ajp.161.12.2271
823	Schmitt, D. P. (2015). Fundamentals of human mating strategies. The handbook of evolutionary
824	psychology. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. doi:10.1002/9781119125563.evpsych111
825	Schmitt, D. P., Voracek, M., Realo, A., & Allik, J. (2008). Why can't a man be more like a
826	woman? Sex differences in big five personality traits across 55 cultures. Journal of
827	Personality and Social Psychology, 94(1), 168–192. doi:10.1037/0022-3514.94.1.168
828	Sharp, C., Pane, H., Ha, C., Venta, A., Patel, A. B., Sturek, J., & Fonagy, P. (2011). Theory of
829	mind and emotion regulation difficulties in adolescents with borderline traits. Journal of
830	the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 50(6), 563–573.e1.
831	doi:10.1016/j.jaac.2011.01.017
832	Snyder, S. (1986). Pseudologia fantastica in the borderline patient. American Journal of
833	Psychiatry, 143(10), 1287–1289. doi:10.1176/ajp.143.10.1287

834	Sprague, J., Javdani, S., Sadeh, N., Newman, J. P., & Verona, E. (2012). Borderline personality
835	disorder as a female phenotypic expression of psychopathy? Personal Disorders, 3(2),
836	127–139. doi:10.1037/a0024134
837	Sprecher, S., Schmeeckle, M., & Felmlee, D. (2006). The principle of least interest: Inequality in
838	emotional involvement in romantic relationships. Journal of Family Issues, 27(9), 1255-
839	1280. doi:10.1177/0192513X06289215
840	Stuart, S., & Noyes Jr., R. (1999). Attachment and interpersonal communication in
841	somatization. Psychosomatics, 40(1), 34-43. doi:10.1016/S0033-3182(99)71269-7
842	Tomasello, M., Carpenter, M., Call, J., Behne, T., & Moll, H. (2005). Understanding and sharing
843	intentions: The origins of cultural cognition. Behavioral and Brain Sciences, 28(5), 675-
844	691. doi:10.1017/S0140525X05000129
845	Vaskinn, A., Antonsen, B. T., Fretland, R. A., Dziobek, I., Sundet, K., & Wilberg, T. (2015).
846	Theory of mind in women with borderline personality disorder or schizophrenia:
847	Differences in overall ability and error patterns. Frontiers In Psychology, 6
848	Walsh, A. (1993). Love styles, masculinity/femininity, physical attractiveness, and sexual
849	behavior: A test of evolutionary theory. Ethology and Sociobiology, 14(1), 25-38.
850	doi:10.1016/0162-3095(93)90015-A
851	Weisfeld, G. E., & Woodward, L. (2004). Current evolutionary perspectives on adolescent
852	romantic relations and sexuality. Journal of the American Academy of Child &
853	Adolescent Psychiatry, 43(1), 11–19. doi:10.1097/00004583-200401000-00010
854	Wynn, R., Høiseth, M. H., & Pettersen, G. (2012). Psychopathy in women: theoretical and
855	clinical perspectives. International Journal of Women's Health, 4(1), 257–263.
856	doi:10.2147/IJWH.S25518

857	Xenaki, L., & Pehlivanidis, A. (2015). Clinical, neuropsychological and structural convergences
858	and divergences between attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder and borderline
859	personality disorder: A systematic review. Personality And Individual Differences,
860	86438-449. doi:10.1016/j.paid.2015.06.049